Standards of Public Land Health Evaluation of 62021 HUGHES CREEK Allotment [12/08/2010]

The Roswell Field Office conducted rangeland health assessments at 1 study site within 62021 HUGHES CREEK. The assessments looked at the Soil/Site Stability, Hydrologic Function and Biotic Integrity indicators within the vicinity of each study site. Existing monitoring data was incorporated into and in support of the field assessment. The summary of each assessment is attached and shown in the following table.

Study Area or Assessment Area	UPLAND			BIOTIC			RIPARIAN		
	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet
62021-IDSU- A029	X			X			N/A		

Twenty-two (22) indicators for Rangeland Health were evaluated for public land on the Hughes Creek allotment, #62021. Ten of these assessed soil site stability, 11 hydrologic functions and 13 assessed biotic integrity. These qualitative assessments in conjunction with quantitative information gathered from previous data collected at the trend study plot locations within the allotment were utilized to make rangeland health determinations. Quantitative evaluations are performed by the Roswell Field Office interdisciplinary teams, which include some or all of the following: ground and vegetative cover and composition, production, frequency and ecological condition. The collections which were initiated in the late 1970's/early 1980's, are scheduled and conducted approximately every 5 years. This allotment is in the "C" (Custodial) category.

This allotment contains 279 acres of public land. The study is located on one ecological site; Shallow Sandstone CP-2. A majority of the indicators for this location fell into the None to Slight category or the Slight to Moderate category. Two indicators were rated as a Slight to Moderate degree of departure from the ecological site description –Bare Ground and Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion. The interdisciplinary team also estimated the production on this location to be approximately 60-65% of annual production, perhaps due to the influence of mesquite and cholla.

There are no riparian areas on the public land within this allotment.

Recommendations: With the majority of the indicators falling in the None to Slight category or Slight to Moderate, this allotment is rated as "Meeting" the standards for Rangeland Health. Continue the rangeland monitoring studies to insure proper stocking rates are maintained and that the perennial grass cover and good plant composition remains.

RFC	Os Upland	and Biotic Standar	rd Ass	essment Su	mmary W	orksheet	<u> </u>	
		SITE 6202	21-IDS	U-A029				
Legal Land Desc		NWSE 18 0060N 0230E Meridian 23		Acreage		age 279	279	
Ecosite		070BY072NM SHALLOW SANDSTONE			Photo Taken			
	Watershed	13060001220 BORIC	A					
Observers		ORTEGA & TRAUTNER		Ob	ate 12/08/	12/08/2010		
County	Soil Survey	NM019 GUADALUP	Έ	S	Soil Var/Tax	kad		
So	il Map Unit	025		Soi	l Taxon Na	me IMA		
Te	exture Class	NM019 FSL		Soil Phase		266	IMA-LA LANDE	
Textu	re Modifier	NM019 FINE SANDY LOAM	Y					
Observed Avg Annual Precipitation				Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation		-		
NOAA Annual Precipitation				NOAA Growing Season Precipitation				
NOAA Avg Annual Precipitation				NOAA Seaso				
	rbances and Animal Use:							
Part 2. Attr	ibutes and	Indicators						
				ure from Eco otion/Ecologi				
Attribute	Indicators		Extren	Moderate	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight	
S H	Rills					X		
Comments:	a little high	ner than expected						
S H	Water Flow Patterns					X		
Comments:	higher than	n expected						
S H	Pedestals and/or Terracettes					X		
Comments:								
S H	Bare Groun	nd			X			
Comments:	mostly in e	roded areas			<u> </u>			
SH	Gullies					X		

Comments:	as expected, no vegetation on ban	k sides				
S	Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas					X
Comments:						
Н	Litter Movement				X	
Comments:						
S H B	Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion			X		
Comments:	higher than expected					
SHB	Soil Surface Loss or Degradation				X	
Comments:						
Н	Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff				X	
Comments:	mesquite and cholla influence					
SHB	Compaction Layer					X
Comments:						
В	Functional/Structural Groups				X	
Comments:						
В	Plant Mortality/Decadence					X
Comments:					-	
НВ	Litter Amount				X	
Comments:	less litter than expected			-	-	
В	Annual Production				X	
Comments:	60 to 65 percent of ecological site	descript	ion levels			
В	Invasive Plants				X	
Comments:	Mesquite and cholla				-	
В	Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants					X
Comments:						
S	Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts				X	
Comments:						
В	Wildlife Habitat					X
Comments:						
В	Wildlife Populations					X

Comments:	
В	Special Status Species Habitat
Comments:	not applicable
В	Special Status Species Populations
Comments:	not applicable

Part 3. Summary

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

Standard Attribute		Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight
S	Soil	0	0	2	6	2
Н	Hydrologic	0	0	2	8	1
В	Biotic	0	0	1	5	5

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

Attribute	Rationale	Does Not Meet	May Need More Info	Meets
Soil		0	2	8
Hydrologic		0	2	9
Biotic		0	1	10

Site Notes: fine sandy loam, erodible soils, large bluffs on sides and canyon flows into the Pecos River. All grass species that are expected were found here.

Determination of Public Land (Rangeland) Health for 62021 HUGHES CREEK

The Record of Decision (ROD) for the New Mexico Standards for Public Land Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management (dated January 2001) adopted three Standards for Public Land Health. These are (1) Upland Sites Standard, (2) Biotic Communities, including Native, Threatened, Endangered, and Special Status species Standard and (3) Riparian Sites Standard.

The ROD also established a process for the BLM Field Offices for implementation. Through a public participation process, the Roswell Field Office developed and adopted indicators to use in conjunction with existing monitoring data to assess these standards.

Field assessment worksheets and other available data that evaluate the local indicators were completed for this allotment. Based on these assessments, it is my determination that public land within the Hughes Creek allotment, #62021, meets the (1) Upland Sites Standard and (2) Biotic Communities, including Native, Threatened, Endangered and Special Status Species Standard. There are no public land Riparian areas on this allotment therefore this standard was not addressed.

/s/ J. Howard Parman Assistant Field Manager 02/10/2011

Date